

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT**

SHARIF MOBLEY,

Appellant,

v.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE
AGENCY, *et al.*,

Appellees.

Case No. 13-5286

* * * * *

**APPELLANT’S UNOPPOSED EMERGENCY
MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
WITHIN WHICH TO FILE HIS REPLY BRIEF**

NOW COMES Appellant to respectfully and apologetically request a ten-day extension—until 10 August 2015—of his deadline for filing his Reply Brief, which is currently due 31 July 2015. Appellees do not oppose this Motion.

Appellant has good cause to request this extension, but nonetheless apologizes sincerely to the Court for having to ask, let alone at the last minute. However, this morning the Circuit issued a ruling in the case *DiBacco v. Department of the Army*, No. 13-5353, which directly affects one of Appellant’s key arguments, and the undersigned needs to evaluate this ruling and confer with

Appellant's other counsel¹ to determine how to move forward in light of the new case law. The undersigned would normally ask for less time to complete this work, but he already faces several filing deadlines next week which necessitate a lengthier extension.

Date: July 31, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kelly B. McClanahan

Kelly B. McClanahan, Esq.

D.C. Bar #984704

National Security Counselors

4702 Levada Terrace

Rockville, MD 20853

301-728-5908

240-681-2189 fax

Kel@NationalSecurityLaw.org

Counsel for Appellant

¹ Since Appellant is imprisoned in Yemen, the undersigned only communicates with Reprieve, the British human rights law firm representing him in Yemeni court.